

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/642,389	FRALEIGH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Susan C. Alimenti	3644	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** ALLOWED

(1) Susan C. Alimenti.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Eric J. Hanson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 24 November 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12,13,17-19,30,33 and 35-44

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner notified Mr. Hanson that the case would be in condition for allowance if, by examiner's amendment, the phrase "container plant," would be changed to "plant in a container," as it appears in the claims. Mr. Hanson agreed.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)